

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

1.6.10	Essential Fish Habitat	1.40
1.6.11	Farmlands	1.40
1.7	Probable Adverse Environmental Effects Which Cannot Be Avoided	1.41
1.8	Irretrievable and Irreversible Commitment of Resources	1.41
1.9	Feasible Measures to Avoid or Minimize Potential Adverse Impact	1.42
1.10	Short-Term Impacts versus Long-Term Environmental Benefits	1.42
1.11	Conclusions	1.43
1.11.1	Project Funding	1.43
2.0	PURPOSE OF AND NEED FOR ACTION.....	2.1
2.1	Area-Wide Needs	2.3
2.1.1	System Linkage	2.3
2.1.2	Transportation Demands	2.6
2.1.3	Federal, State, or Local Government Authority	2.6
2.1.4	Social Demands or Economic Development	2.10
2.1.5	Modal Relationships	2.13
2.2	Project Corridor Characteristics	2.13
2.2.1	Capacity	2.13
2.2.1.1	Existing Roadway Characteristics	2.13
2.2.2	Safety	2.18
2.3	Summary of Why This Project Is Needed	2.23
3.0	ALTERNATIVES INCLUDING PROPOSED ACTION.....	3.1
3.1	Project History	3.1
3.2	Alternatives Development	3.3
3.2.1	<i>Corridor Report</i> Summary	3.7
3.2.1.1	Traffic Analysis Methodology and Assumptions (<i>Corridor Report</i>)	3.12
3.2.1.1.1	Existing (2003) Traffic Analysis (<i>Corridor Report</i>)	3.12
3.2.1.1.2	Traffic Forecasts (<i>Corridor Report</i>)	3.12
3.2.1.2	Corridor Assessment (<i>Corridor Report</i>)	3.14
3.2.1.2.1	The No Build Alternative (<i>Corridor Report</i>)	3.14
3.2.1.2.2	Corridor 1 – Widening of Existing Bridges (<i>Corridor Report</i>)	3.15
3.2.1.2.3	Corridor 2 – Gatlin Boulevard (<i>Corridor Report</i>)	3.16
3.2.1.2.4	Corridor 3 – Paar Drive (<i>Corridor Report</i>)	3.16
3.2.1.2.5	Corridor 4 – Becker Road (<i>Corridor Report</i>)	3.17
3.2.1.2.6	Corridor 5 – Crosstown Parkway Corridor (<i>Corridor Report</i>)	3.17
3.2.2	Initial Alternatives Development	3.18
3.2.2.1	Project Description	3.18
3.2.2.2	Design Criteria Common to all Bridge Crossing Alternatives	3.18
3.2.2.2.1	Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities	3.21
3.2.2.2.2	Design Standards and Criteria	3.21
3.2.2.2.3	Roadway Typical Section	3.23
3.2.2.2.4	Bridge Typical Section and Design Type	3.25

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	3.2.2.2.4.1	Bridge Typical Section.....	3.27
	3.2.2.2.4.2	Bridge Clearance Requirements.....	3.27
	3.2.2.2.4.3	Bridge Substructure Design.....	3.29
	3.2.2.2.4.4	Bridge Superstructure Design.....	3.29
	3.2.2.2.4.5	Bridge Type.....	3.30
	3.2.2.2.4.6	Retaining Walls.....	3.30
3.2.2.3		Project Alternatives Considered in the <i>Alternatives Report</i>	3.30
	3.2.2.3.1	Development of Alternatives (<i>Alternatives Report</i>).....	3.31
		3.2.2.3.1.1 Traffic Analysis Methodology and Assumptions (<i>Alternatives Report</i>).....	3.33
	3.2.2.3.2	No Build and System Alternatives (<i>Alternatives Report</i>).....	3.33
		3.2.2.3.2.1 No Build Alternative (<i>Alternatives Report</i>).....	3.33
		3.2.2.3.2.2 Multimodal Alternative (<i>Alternatives Report</i>).....	3.33
		3.2.2.3.2.3 Transportation Systems Management Alternative (<i>Alternatives Report</i>).....	3.34
	3.2.2.3.3	Bridge Alternatives – Level 1 Screening (<i>Alternatives Report</i>).....	3.34
		3.2.2.3.3.1 Alternative 3 – Damask Avenue/ Lyngate Drive (<i>Alternatives Report</i>).....	3.35
		3.2.2.3.3.2 Alternative 4 – Thornhill Drive/ Lyngate Drive (<i>Alternatives Report</i>).....	3.35
	3.2.2.3.4	Bridge Alternatives – Level 2 Screening (<i>Alternatives Report</i>).....	3.36
		3.2.2.3.4.1 Alternative 2A – Walters Terrace/ Midport Road [Veterans Memorial Parkway] (<i>Alternatives Report</i>).....	3.36
		3.2.2.3.4.2 Alternative 2D – Walters Terrace/ Midport Road (Veterans Memorial Parkway) via Floresta Drive (<i>Alternatives Report</i>).....	3.37
		3.2.2.3.4.3 Alternative 1C – West Virginia Drive/ Village Green Drive (<i>Alternatives Report</i>).....	3.37
		3.2.2.3.4.4 Alternative 1F – West Virginia Drive North/ U.S. 1 (<i>Alternatives Report</i>).....	3.38
		3.2.2.3.4.5 Alternative 6B – South La Buona Vita/ U.S. 1 (<i>Alternatives Report</i>).....	3.38
		3.2.2.3.4.6 Alternative 6A – North La Buona Vita/ Savanna Club Boulevard (<i>Alternative Report</i>).....	3.38
	3.2.2.4	<i>Alternatives Report</i> Conclusions.....	3.39
3.2.3		Additional Alternatives Considered but Eliminated.....	3.39
	3.2.3.1	Traffic Analysis Methodology and Assumptions.....	3.41
		3.2.3.1.1 Existing (2008) Conditions.....	3.43
		3.2.3.1.2 Transportation Demand Modeling.....	3.43

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	3.2.3.1.2.1	Validation Year (2000).....	3.43
	3.2.3.1.2.2	Cost Feasible 2030 TCRPM.....	3.43
	3.2.3.1.2.3	Opening Year (2017).....	3.44
	3.2.3.1.2.4	Interim Year (2027).....	3.44
	3.2.3.1.2.5	Design Year (2037).....	3.44
	3.2.3.1.3	Directional Design Hour Volumes (DDHV).....	3.44
	3.2.3.1.3.1	Design Hour Factor (K_{30}) and Directional Distribution (D_{30}).....	3.44
	3.2.3.1.4	Design Hour Turning Movements.....	3.45
	3.2.3.1.5	Operations Analysis Procedure.....	3.45
3.2.3.2		Multimodal Alternative.....	3.46
3.2.3.3		TSM Alternative.....	3.47
3.2.3.4		Widening of Existing Bridges Alternative.....	3.48
	3.2.3.4.1	Widening of Existing Bridges – Additional Analysis.....	3.55
3.2.3.5		Cable-Stayed Bridge.....	3.58
3.2.3.6		Tunnel Alternative.....	3.59
	3.2.3.6.1	Tunnel Construction Methods.....	3.59
	3.2.3.6.2	Design Constraints (Tunnel).....	3.63
	3.2.3.6.3	Tunnel Cross Section.....	3.63
	3.2.3.6.4	Social Impacts (Tunnel).....	3.64
	3.2.3.6.5	Natural Resource Impacts (Tunnel).....	3.64
	3.2.3.6.6	Physical Impacts (Tunnel).....	3.66
	3.2.3.6.7	Cost (Tunnel).....	3.66
	3.2.3.6.8	Tunnel Alternative Conclusion.....	3.66
	3.2.3.6.8.1	Tunnel Alternative – Additional Analysis.....	3.67
3.2.3.7		Double Deck the Bridge.....	3.68
3.2.3.8		Flyover Ramps at U.S. 1.....	3.69
3.2.4		Alternatives Considered for Further Analysis.....	3.69
	3.2.4.1	No Build Alternative Impacts.....	3.69
	3.2.4.1.1	Traffic Considerations (No Build).....	3.69
	3.2.4.1.2	Costs (No Build).....	3.74
	3.2.4.1.3	Social and Economic Impacts (No Build).....	3.79
	3.2.4.1.4	Cultural Resource Impacts (No Build).....	3.79
	3.2.4.1.5	Natural and Physical Resource Impacts (No Build).....	3.79
	3.2.4.2	Build Alternatives Impacts.....	3.79
	3.2.4.2.1	Impacts and Considerations Common to all Build Alternatives.....	3.81
	3.2.4.2.1.1	Social and Economic Impacts (All Build Alternatives).....	3.81
	3.2.4.2.1.2	Cultural Resource Impacts (All Build Alternatives).....	3.81
	3.2.4.2.1.3	Natural and Physical Resource Impacts (All Build Alternatives).....	3.83
	3.2.4.2.1.4	Cost Estimates (All Build Alternatives).....	3.84

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	3.2.4.2.1.5	Compensatory Mitigation (All Build Alternatives)	3.85	
3.2.4.2.2	Alternative 2A.....		3.87	
	3.2.4.2.2.1	Traffic Considerations (2A).....	3.87	
	3.2.4.2.2.2	Social and Economic Impacts (2A).....	3.98	
	3.2.4.2.2.3	Natural and Physical Resource Impacts (2A) ..	3.99	
3.2.4.2.3	Alternative 2D.....		3.99	
	3.2.4.2.3.1	Traffic Considerations (2D).....	3.99	
	3.2.4.2.3.2	Social and Economic Impacts (2D).....	3.109	
	3.2.4.2.3.3	Natural and Physical Resource Impacts (2D)	3.110	
3.2.4.2.4	Alternative 1C.....		3.110	
	3.2.4.2.4.1	Traffic Considerations (1C).....	3.110	
	3.2.4.2.4.2	Social and Economic Impacts (1C).....	3.121	
	3.2.4.2.4.3	Natural and Physical Resource Impacts (1C)	3.121	
3.2.4.2.5	Alternative 1F		3.122	
	3.2.4.2.5.1	Traffic Considerations (1F)	3.122	
	3.2.4.2.5.2	Social and Economic Impacts (1F)	3.133	
	3.2.4.2.5.3	Natural and Physical Resource Impacts (1F)	3.133	
3.2.4.2.6	Alternative 6B.....		3.134	
	3.2.4.2.6.1	Traffic Considerations (6B).....	3.134	
	3.2.4.2.6.2	Social and Economic Impacts (6B).....	3.134	
	3.2.4.2.6.3	Natural and Physical Resource Impacts (6B)	3.137	
3.2.4.2.7	Alternative 6A.....		3.137	
	3.2.4.2.7.1	Traffic Considerations (6A).....	3.137	
	3.2.4.2.7.2	Social and Economic Impacts (6A).....	3.148	
	3.2.4.2.7.3	Natural and Physical Resource Impacts (6A)	3.148	
3.3	Preferred Alternative		3.149	
3.3.1	Selection of the Preferred Alternative.....		3.149	
	3.3.1.1	Alternatives Scoring.....	3.151	
		3.3.1.1.1	Criterion 1 – Meeting the Project Purpose and Need (0-20 points)	3.151
		3.3.1.1.2	Criterion 2 – Social/Community Impacts (0-10 points)	3.156
		3.3.1.1.3	Criterion 3 – Natural Environment Impacts.....	3.161
		3.3.1.1.4	Criterion 4 – Physical Impacts	3.166
		3.3.1.1.5	Criterion 5 – Project Cost (0-5 points)	3.167
	3.3.1.2	Summary of Alternative Evaluation	3.168	
	3.3.1.3	Final Selection of a Preferred Alternative.....	3.170	
3.3.2	Description of the Preferred Alternative		3.171	
	3.3.2.1	Roadway Typical Section (Preferred Alternative).....	3.171	
	3.3.2.2	Bridge Typical Section (Preferred Alternative).....	3.171	
		3.3.2.2.1	Impact Avoidance and Minimization of the Bridge Width.....	3.171
		3.3.2.2.2	Use of Section 4(f) Resources	3.175
	3.3.2.3	Value Engineering	3.177	
	3.3.2.4	Proposed Construction Methods.....	3.179	

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

4.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT 4.1

4.1 Social and Economic Resources..... 4.1

4.1.1 Sociocultural Effects Evaluation..... 4.1

4.1.1.1 Existing Sociocultural Conditions..... 4.2

4.1.1.2 Existing Land Uses 4.8

4.1.1.3 Consistency with Local Comprehensive Plans 4.9

4.1.1.4 Transit and Mobility..... 4.9

4.1.1.5 Special Needs Patrons 4.11

4.1.2 Economic Evaluation..... 4.11

4.1.2.1 Businesses and Employment..... 4.11

4.1.2.2 Tax Base..... 4.13

4.1.2.3 Residential Property Values..... 4.14

4.1.3 Railroads and Utilities 4.14

4.1.3.1 Existing Railroads 4.14

4.1.3.2 Existing Utilities..... 4.14

4.1.3.2.1 Existing Utilities West of the NFSLR 4.14

4.1.3.2.2 Existing Utilities East of the NFSLR 4.15

4.2 Cultural and Historic Resources..... 4.15

4.2.1 Archaeological and Historical..... 4.15

4.2.1.1 Area of Potential Effects (APE)..... 4.16

4.2.1.2 Archaeological Resources 4.16

4.2.1.3 Historic/Architectural Resources..... 4.19

4.2.1.4 Concurrency with Archaeological and Historic/Architectural Resources... 4.19

4.2.2 Recreation and Parkland..... 4.20

4.3 Natural and Physical Resources 4.20

4.3.1 Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities..... 4.20

4.3.2 Visual and Aesthetic..... 4.21

4.3.2.1 Existing Roadway Views..... 4.22

4.3.2.2 Existing NFSLR Views 4.23

4.3.3 Air Quality 4.23

4.3.4 Noise..... 4.24

4.3.5 Wetlands..... 4.25

4.3.5.1 Existing Wetland Conditions 4.28

4.3.5.1.1 Mangrove Swamps – 612;
Estuarine Intertidal Scrub-Shrub Wetland; AA1..... 4.28

4.3.5.1.2 Stream and Lake Swamps – 615;
Palustrine Forested Wetland; AA3, AA4, AA7, and AA14 4.34

4.3.5.1.3 Mixed Wetland Hardwoods – 617;
Palustrine Forested Wetland; AA10 and AA13..... 4.34

4.3.5.1.4 Willow and Elder – 618; Palustrine Forested Wetland;
AA12 4.34

4.3.5.1.5 Freshwater Marsh – 641; Palustrine Emergent Wetland;
AA6, AA8, and AA11 4.34

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

4.3.5.1.6	Freshwater Marsh, with Shrubs, Brush and Vines – 6417 Palustrine Emergent Wetland; AA2, AA5, and AA9.....	4.35
4.3.5.2	Wetland Evaluations	4.35
4.3.6	Aquatic Preserves.....	4.35
4.3.7	Water Quality	4.36
4.3.8	Outstanding Florida Waters	4.38
4.3.9	Contamination.....	4.39
4.3.10	Wild and Scenic Rivers	4.41
4.3.11	Floodplains.....	4.42
4.3.12	Coastal Zone Consistency	4.42
4.3.13	Coastal Barrier Island Resources.....	4.45
4.3.14	Wildlife and Habitat	4.45
4.3.14.1	Existing Listed Species Habitat.....	4.47
4.3.14.1.1	Pine Flatwoods - 411.....	4.47
4.3.14.1.2	Brazilian Pepper - 422.....	4.47
4.3.14.1.3	Live Oak – 427	4.48
4.3.14.1.4	Disturbed Lands – 740	4.48
4.3.14.1.5	Pine Flatwoods/Brazilian Pepper – 411/422.....	4.48
4.3.14.1.6	Pine Flatwoods/Disturbed Lands – 411/740.....	4.49
4.3.14.2	Threatened and Endangered Species	4.49
4.3.15	Essential Fish Habitat	4.53
4.3.15.1	Existing EFH.....	4.54
4.3.15.1.1	Estuarine Intertidal Scrub-Shrub Wetland	4.56
4.3.15.1.2	Estuarine Subtidal Water Column	4.56
4.3.15.1.3	Palustrine Forested and Emergent Wetlands.....	4.56
4.3.15.2	Habitat Areas of Particular Concern (HAPC)	4.57
4.3.15.3	Federally Managed Fish Species.....	4.57
4.3.15.3.1	Shrimp Fishery Management Plan	4.57
4.3.15.3.2	Snapper-Grouper Fishery Management Plan.....	4.58
4.3.15.3.3	Bluefish Fishery Management Plan.....	4.60
4.3.15.4	Diadromous Species.....	4.60
4.3.15.5	State Regulated Species	4.61
4.3.16	Farmlands.....	4.61
4.3.17	Scenic Highways.....	4.62
4.3.18	Navigation	4.63
5.0	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	5.1
5.1	Social and Economic Resources.....	5.2
5.1.1	Sociocultural Effects Evaluation.....	5.3
5.1.1.1	Social Impacts	5.3
5.1.1.1.1	Demographics	5.3
5.1.1.1.2	Community Cohesion	5.5
5.1.1.1.3	Safety / Emergency Response	5.17
5.1.1.1.3.1	Regional Emergency Response	5.17

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	5.1.1.1.3.2	Corridor Safety	5.17
	5.1.1.1.3.3	Local Emergency Response.....	5.17
	5.1.1.1.3.4	Safety/Emergency Response (Preferred Alternative)	5.19
5.1.1.2		Economic Impacts	5.19
5.1.1.3		Land Use Impacts.....	5.21
5.1.1.4		Mobility.....	5.22
5.1.1.5		Relocation.....	5.24
	5.1.1.5.1	Residential Relocation and Displacement Impacts.....	5.24
	5.1.1.5.2	Environmental Justice	5.28
	5.1.1.5.3	Business Relocation and Displacement Impacts.....	5.29
	5.1.1.5.4	Public Facilities and Services.....	5.31
	5.1.1.5.5	Conceptual Stage Relocation Plan.....	5.31
5.1.2		Railroads and Utilities	5.34
	5.1.2.1	Railroads.....	5.34
	5.1.2.2	Utilities	5.34
5.2		Cultural and Historical Resources	5.34
	5.2.1	Archaeological and Historical.....	5.34
	5.2.2	Recreation and Parkland.....	5.35
5.3		Natural and Physical Resources	5.35
	5.3.1	Pedestrian / Bicycle Facilities.....	5.35
	5.3.2	Visual and Aesthetic.....	5.36
	5.3.2.1	Views from Roadway and Bridge.....	5.36
	5.3.2.2	Views from Adjacent Lands of the Roadway and Bridge	5.37
	5.3.2.3	Visual and Aesthetic (Preferred Alternative)	5.38
	5.3.3	Air Quality	5.39
	5.3.3.1	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	5.41
	5.3.3.2	Mobile Source Air Toxics	5.42
	5.3.3.2.1	Unavailable Information for Project Specific MSAT Impact Analysis	5.44
	5.3.3.2.2	Summary of Existing Credible Scientific Evidence Relevant to Evaluating the Impacts of MSAT	5.46
	5.3.3.2.3	Relevance of Unavailable or Incomplete Information to Evaluating Significant Adverse Impacts	5.48
5.3.4		Noise.....	5.49
	5.3.4.1	Noise Abatement Criteria.....	5.49
	5.3.4.2	Design Year Traffic Used for Noise Model.....	5.51
	5.3.4.3	Model Validation	5.54
	5.3.4.4	Predicted Noise Level.....	5.54
	5.3.4.5	Noise Barrier Analysis.....	5.55
	5.3.4.6	Noise (Preferred Alternative)	5.77
5.3.5		Wetlands.....	5.79
	5.3.5.1	Direct Impacts (Wetlands).....	5.79
	5.3.5.2	Indirect Impacts (Wetlands)	5.80

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

5.3.5.2.1	Edge Impacts (Wetlands)	5.88
5.3.5.2.2	Air and Water Pollution Impacts (Wetlands)	5.88
5.3.5.3	Temporary Impacts (Wetlands)	5.89
5.3.5.4	Cumulative Impacts (Wetlands)	5.89
5.3.5.5	Wetland Impacts (Preferred Alternative)	5.90
5.3.5.6	Avoidance, Minimization and Compensatory Mitigation (Wetlands, Preferred Alternative)	5.91
5.3.6	Aquatic Preserves	5.93
5.3.7	Water Quality	5.94
5.3.7.1	Stormwater Management System	5.94
5.3.7.2	Construction Impacts to Water Quality	5.98
5.3.8	Outstanding Florida Waters	5.99
5.3.9	Contamination	5.99
5.3.9.1	No Build Alternative	5.99
5.3.9.2	Alternative 2A	5.99
5.3.9.3	Alternative 2D	5.99
5.3.9.4	Alternative 1C (Preferred Alternative)	5.100
5.3.9.5	Alternative 1F	5.100
5.3.9.6	Alternative 6B	5.100
5.3.9.7	Alternative 6A	5.100
5.3.10	Wild and Scenic Rivers	5.100
5.3.11	Floodplains	5.101
5.3.12	Coastal Zone Consistency	5.103
5.3.13	Coastal Barrier Island Resources	5.103
5.3.14	Wildlife and Habitat	5.103
5.3.14.1	Federal Listed Plants	5.104
5.3.14.1.1	Four Petal Pawpaw (<i>Asimina tetramera</i>)	5.104
5.3.14.1.2	Lakela's Mint (<i>Dicerandra immaculata</i>)	5.104
5.3.14.1.3	Johnson's Seagrass (<i>Halophila johnsonii</i>)	5.111
5.3.14.1.4	Fragrant Prickly-Apple (<i>Harrisia fragrans</i>)	5.111
5.3.14.1.5	Tiny Polygala (<i>Polygala smallii</i>)	5.111
5.3.14.2	Federal Listed Animals	5.111
5.3.14.2.1	Miami Blue Butterfly (<i>Cyclargus thomasi bethunebakeri</i>)	5.111
5.3.14.2.2	Shortnose Sturgeon (<i>Acipenser brevirostrum</i>)	5.112
5.3.14.2.3	Opossum Pipefish (<i>Microphis brachyurus</i>)	5.112
5.3.14.2.4	Smalltooth Sawfish (<i>Pristis pectinata</i>)	5.113
5.3.14.2.5	Mangrove Rivulus (<i>Rivulus marmoratus</i>)	5.113
5.3.14.2.6	Sea Turtles	5.114
5.3.14.2.7	American Alligator (<i>Alligator mississippiensis</i>)	5.114
5.3.14.2.8	American Crocodile (<i>Crocodylus acutus</i>)	5.114
5.3.14.2.9	Eastern Indigo Snake (<i>Drymarchon corais couperi</i>)	5.115
5.3.14.2.10	Florida Scrub Jay (<i>Aphelocoma coerulescens</i>)	5.115
5.3.14.2.11	Ivory-Billed Woodpecker (<i>Campephilus principalis</i>)	5.115
5.3.14.2.12	Piping Plover (<i>Charadrius melodus</i>)	5.116

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

5.3.14.2.13 Bald Eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*)..... 5.116

5.3.14.2.14 Wood Stork (*Mycteria americana*)..... 5.116

5.3.14.2.15 Red-Cockaded Woodpecker (*Picoides borealis*)..... 5.117

5.3.14.2.16 Audubon's Crested Caracara (*Polyborus plancus audobonii*) 5.117

5.3.14.2.17 Everglade Snail Kite (*Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus*) 5.117

5.3.14.2.18 Florida Panther (*Puma concolor coryi*) 5.118

5.3.14.2.19 Southeastern Beach Mouse (*Peromyscus polionotus niveiventris*)..... 5.118

5.3.14.2.20 West Indian Manatee (*Trichechus manatus*)..... 5.118

5.3.14.3 State Listed Animals 5.119

5.3.14.3.1 Gopher Tortoise (*Gopherus polyphemus*)..... 5.119

5.3.14.3.2 Florida Pine Snake (*Pituophis melanoleucus mugitis*)..... 5.119

5.3.14.3.3 Gopher Frog (*Rana capito*) 5.119

5.3.14.3.4 Roseate Spoonbill (*Ajaia ajaja*) 5.120

5.3.14.3.5 Florida Burrowing Owl (*Athene cunicularia floridana*) 5.120

5.3.14.3.6 Wading Birds (Herons, Egrets, Limpkin, and White Ibis) 5.120

5.3.14.3.7 Arctic Peregrine Falcon (*Falco peregrinus tundrius*) 5.120

5.3.14.3.8 Southeastern American Kestrel (*Falco sparverius paulus*) 5.121

5.3.14.3.9 Florida Sandhill Crane (*Grus canadensis pratensis*) 5.121

5.3.14.3.10 American Oystercatcher (*Haematopus palliatus*) 5.121

5.3.14.3.11 Brown Pelican (*Pelecanus occidentalis*)..... 5.121

5.3.14.3.12 Black Skimmer (*Rynchops niger*) 5.121

5.3.14.3.13 Least Tern (*Sterna antillarum*)..... 5.122

5.3.14.3.14 Florida Mouse (*Podomys floridanus*)..... 5.122

5.3.14.3.15 Sherman's Fox Squirrel (*Sciurus niger shermanii*) 5.122

5.3.14.4 Direct Impacts (Wildlife and Habitat) 5.122

5.3.14.4.1 No Build Alternative (Wildlife and Habitat)..... 5.123

5.3.14.4.2 Build Alternatives (Wildlife and Habitat) 5.123

5.3.14.5 Indirect Impacts (Wildlife and Habitat) 5.126

5.3.14.5.1 Edge Impacts (Wildlife and Habitat) 5.126

5.3.14.5.2 Noise Impacts (Wildlife and Habitat) 5.127

5.3.14.5.3 Wildlife Passage (Wildlife and Habitat)..... 5.128

5.3.14.5.4 Air and Water Pollution Impacts (Wildlife and Habitat) 5.128

5.3.14.5.5 Other Impacts (Wildlife and Habitat)..... 5.129

5.3.14.6 Temporary Impacts (Wildlife and Habitat) 5.129

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	5.3.14.7 Wildlife and Habitat Impacts (Preferred Alternative)	5.131
	5.3.14.8 Compensatory Mitigation (Wildlife and Habitat)	5.131
5.3.15	Essential Fish Habitat	5.132
	5.3.15.1 Direct Impacts (Essential Fish Habitat)	5.133
	5.3.15.2 Indirect Impacts (Essential Fish Habitat).....	5.137
	5.3.15.3 Temporary Impacts (Essential Fish Habitat)	5.138
	5.3.15.4 Cumulative Impacts (Essential Fish Habitat)	5.139
	5.3.15.5 Essential Fish Habitat Impacts (Preferred Alternative).....	5.140
	5.3.15.6 Avoidance, Minimization and Compensatory Mitigation (Essential Fish Habitat).....	5.140
5.3.16	Farmlands	5.141
5.3.17	Scenic Highways.....	5.142
5.3.18	Navigation	5.142
	5.3.18.1 Navigation (Preferred Alternative).....	5.142
5.3.19	Construction.....	5.143
	5.3.19.1 Air (Construction).....	5.143
	5.3.19.2 Noise/Vibration (Construction)	5.143
	5.3.19.3 Water Quality and Erosion Control (Construction)	5.144
	5.3.19.4 Maintenance of Traffic (Construction).....	5.145
	5.3.19.5 Bridge Construction Methods.....	5.145
5.3.20	Permits Required	5.147
5.4	Cumulative Impacts.....	5.149
	5.4.1 Identification of Relevant Past, Present, and Future Actions	5.149
	5.4.1.1 Development Actions	5.150
	5.4.1.2 Roadway Actions	5.150
	5.4.1.3 Redevelopment Actions.....	5.156
5.4.2	Cumulative Effects Analysis.....	5.156
	5.4.2.1 Land Uses.....	5.159
	5.4.2.2 Stormwater Management.....	5.159
	5.4.2.3 Natural Habitats (Wetlands, Uplands, Wildlife, and Essential Fish Habitat)	5.159
	5.4.2.4 Construction-Related Actions	5.161
	5.4.2.5 Parks and Recreation Resources	5.161
	5.4.2.6 Social and Community Impacts	5.161
5.4.3	Summary.....	5.162
6.0	SECTION 4(f) EVALUATION	6.1
6.1	Section 4(f) Properties	6.2
	6.1.1 North Fork St. Lucie River Aquatic Preserve.....	6.4
	6.1.2 Savannas Preserve State Park	6.7
	6.1.3 Kiwanis Park	6.9
6.2	Evaluation of Avoidance Alternatives	6.9
	6.2.1 Corridor Alternatives	6.10
	6.2.2 Alternatives within the Preferred Corridor	6.12

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

6.2.3	Evaluation of Avoidance Alternatives for Savannas Preserve State Park.....	6.14
6.2.3.1	Evaluation of Alternatives to Avoid a New Crossing of the NFSLR.....	6.14
6.2.3.2	Evaluation of Alternatives to Completely Span the SPSP.....	6.16
6.2.3.2.1	Tunnel Alternatives.....	6.16
6.2.3.2.2	Cable-stayed Bridge Alternative.....	6.17
6.2.3.2.3	Build Alternatives.....	6.18
6.2.3.3	Summary of Evaluation of Alternatives to Avoid Use of the SPSP.....	6.18
6.2.4	Evaluation of Avoidance Alternatives for the North Fork St. Lucie River Aquatic Preserve.....	6.19
6.2.4.1	Evaluation of Alternatives to Avoid a New Crossing of the NFSLR.....	6.19
6.2.4.2	Evaluation of Alternatives to Span and Avoid Use of the AP.....	6.19
6.2.4.2.1	Tunnel Alternatives.....	6.19
6.2.4.2.2	Cable-stayed Bridge Alternative.....	6.23
6.2.4.2.3	Steel Beam Alternative.....	6.23
6.2.4.2.4	High Performance Steel Alternative.....	6.24
6.2.4.2.5	Prestressed Concrete Florida I-Beam Alternative.....	6.24
6.2.4.2.6	Prestressed Post Tensioned (Spliced) Beam Alternative.....	6.25
6.2.4.2.7	Concrete Segmental Bridge Alternative.....	6.26
6.2.4.2.8	Pile Bent Substructure.....	6.27
6.2.4.3	Summary of the Evaluation of Alternatives to Avoid Use of the AP.....	6.28
6.2.5	Evaluation of Avoidance Alternatives for Kiwanis Park.....	6.28
6.3	Measures to Minimize Harm.....	6.28
6.3.1	Measures to Minimize Harm for All Build Alternatives, Including the Preferred Alternative.....	6.28
6.3.2	Measures to Minimize Harm for Specific Build Alternatives.....	6.31
6.3.2.1	Measures to Minimize Harm for Alternatives 2A, 2D, 1C, 1F, and 6B.....	6.31
6.3.2.1.1	Cable-stayed Bridge.....	6.31
6.3.2.1.2	Tunnel.....	6.31
6.3.2.2	Measures to Minimize Harm for Alternative 1C, 1F, and 6A.....	6.32
6.3.2.3	Measures to Minimize Harm for Alternative 1C (Preferred Alternative).....	6.32
6.3.2.4	Measures to Minimize Harm for Alternative 2D.....	6.34
6.4	Use of Section 4(f) Properties.....	6.36
6.4.1	North Fork St. Lucie River Aquatic Preserve.....	6.36
6.4.2	Savannas Preserve State Park.....	6.38
6.4.3	Kiwanis Park.....	6.39
6.5	Constructive Use Determination.....	6.39
6.6	Evaluation of Alternatives.....	6.40
6.6.1	Comparison of Alternatives Regarding Least Harm Analysis.....	6.43
6.6.1.1	Summary of Least Harm Analysis.....	6.47
6.6.2	Selection of the Preferred Alternative.....	6.47
6.6.2.1	Additional Avoidance and Measures to Minimize Harm for the Preferred Alternative.....	6.48
6.7	Compensatory Mitigation for Section 4(f) Uses.....	6.50
6.7.1	Water Quality Improvement Projects.....	6.51

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

6.7.2	Land Acquisitions	6.53
6.7.3	Recreational Improvements	6.54
6.7.4	Benefits of the Proprietary Mitigation Plan	6.59
6.8	Conclusions	6.59
6.9	Coordination.....	6.62
7.0	AVOIDANCE, MINIMIZATION AND COMPENSATORY MITIGATION	7.1
7.1	Avoidance	7.1
7.1.1	Additional Avoidance and Minimization Measures for the Preferred Alternative	7.2
7.2	Minimization	7.5
7.2.1	Natural Environment	7.5
7.2.2	Social Environment	7.7
7.2.2.1	All Build Alternatives	7.7
7.2.2.2	Alternative 2A	7.8
7.2.2.3	Alternative 2D	7.8
7.2.2.4	Alternative 1C (Preferred Alternative)	7.8
7.2.2.5	Alternative 1F.....	7.9
7.2.2.6	Alternative 6B	7.9
7.2.2.7	Alternative 6A	7.9
7.3	Compensatory Mitigation for Unavoidable Impacts.....	7.10
7.3.1	Noise.....	7.10
7.3.2	Visual.....	7.10
7.3.3	Section 4(f) Resources.....	7.10
7.3.4	Wetlands, Wildlife Habitat, and Essential Fish Habitat.....	7.12
7.3.4.1	Details of the Regulatory Mitigation Plan.....	7.13
7.3.4.2	Benefits of the Regulatory Mitigation Plan.....	7.16
7.3.4.3	Summary of the Compensatory Mitigation Plan for Wetlands, Wildlife Habitat, and Essential Fish Habitat	7.17
8.0	COMMENTS AND COORDINATION	8.1
8.1	Public Outreach Techniques	8.1
8.2	Corridor Analysis Phase.....	8.2
8.3	Initial Alternatives Analysis Phase.....	8.3
8.4	Advance Notification	8.3
8.4.1	Advance Notification One.....	8.4
8.4.2	Advance Notification Two.....	8.11
8.5	Scoping Process	8.16
8.5.1	Cooperating Agencies and Their Roles.....	8.17
8.5.2	Scoping Meeting	8.17
8.6	Interagency Coordination and Consultation	8.25
8.6.1	Coordination and Meetings through the Public Hearing	8.25
8.6.2	Agency Comments Received after DEIS Approval for Public Availability.....	8.38

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

8.6.3	Agency Coordination and Concurrences after Public Hearing	8.76
8.7	Summation of Comments and Coordination.....	8.81
9.0	COMMITMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	9.1
9.1	Commitments.....	9.1
9.2	Recommendations	9.4
10.0	LIST OF PREPARERS.....	10.1
11.0	LIST OF AGENCIES, ORGANIZATION AND PERSONS TO WHOM COPIES OF THE STATEMENT ARE SENT	11.1
12.0	INDEX.....	12.1
13.0	APPENDICES	
	Appendix A : Correspondences and Coordination	
	Appendix B : First Advance Notification Package and Responses	
	Appendix C : Second Advance Notification Package and Responses	
	Appendix D : Agency Comments from Final Programming Screen – Published 10/06/2008	
	Appendix E : Resolutions	
	Appendix F : Water Quality Impact Evaluation Checklist	
	Appendix G : Tunnel Concept Report	
	Appendix H : Concept Plans	
	Appendix I : Public Meetings Summaries/Minutes	
	Appendix J : Land Use Map	
	Appendix K : Planning Consistency	
	Appendix L : Memorandum of Understanding – Memorandum of Agreement	
	Appendix M : Mitigation	
	Appendix N : Agency and Public Comments received after DEIS Notice of Availability	
	Appendix O : Public Hearing Transcript (September 22, 2011)	

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

List of Figures

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
Figure 1.1	Regional Location Map	1.2
Figure 1.2	Project Study Area	1.3
Figure 1.3	Build Alternatives	1.9
Figure 1.4	Preferred Alternative	1.27
Figure 1.5	Suburban Typical Section	1.28
Figure 1.6	Urban Typical Section.....	1.29
Figure 1.7	Bridge Typical Sections	1.30
Figure 2.1	Roadways and Approved Developments	2.4
Figure 2.2	St. Lucie County Evacuation Routes	2.5
Figure 2.3	City of Port St. Lucie Population Growth	2.7
Figure 2.4	2011 St. Lucie County Trends and Conditions.....	2.8
Figure 2.5	Regional Employment Centers	2.11
Figure 2.6	Treasure Coast Regional Multimodal Transportation System.....	2.14
Figure 2.7	Year 2008 Existing Intersection Geometry.....	2.17
Figure 2.8	2008 AM Peak Hour Intersection and Arterial Level of Service	2.19
Figure 2.9	2008 PM Peak Hour Intersection and Arterial Level of Service	2.20
Figure 2.10	Crash Data Summary	2.21
Figure 3.1	Build Alternative Selection Process	3.8
Figure 3.2	Corridors Evaluated	3.11
Figure 3.3	Project Study Area.....	3.19
Figure 3.4	Crosstown Pkwy Approaching Manth Lane	3.20
Figure 3.5	Suburban Typical Section – Alternatives Analysis	3.24
Figure 3.6	Urban Typical Section – Alternatives Analysis.....	3.26
Figure 3.7	Bridge Typical Sections – Alternatives Analysis	3.28
Figure 3.8	Project Alternatives - <i>Alternatives Report</i>	3.32
Figure 3.9	Traffic Study Area Boundary.....	3.42
Figure 3.10	Widening Alternative Design Year (2037) AADT	3.49
Figure 3.11	Widening Alternative Geometry	3.52
Figure 3.12	2037 AM Peak Hour Level of Service – Widening Alternative	3.53
Figure 3.13	2037 PM Peak Hour Level of Service – Widening Alternative	3.54
Figure 3.14	Prefabricated Tunnel Tube	3.60
Figure 3.15	Cut and Cover Tunnel.....	3.61
Figure 3.16	Tunnel Boring Machine.....	3.62
Figure 3.17	Tunnel Concept Plan and Profile along Alternative 1C	3.65
Figure 3.18	No Build Alternative Geometry.....	3.70
Figure 3.19	No Build Alternative Opening Year (2017) AADT	3.72
Figure 3.20	No Build Alternative Design Year (2037) AADT	3.73
Figure 3.21	2017 AM Peak Hour Level of Service – No Build.....	3.75
Figure 3.22	2017 PM Peak Hour Level of Service – No Build.....	3.76
Figure 3.23	2037 AM Peak Hour Level of Service – No Build.....	3.77

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
Figure 3.24	2037 PM Peak Hour Level of Service – No Build.....	3.78
Figure 3.25	Build Alternatives.....	3.80
Figure 3.26	Alternative 2A Alignment	3.88
Figure 3.27	Bridge Plan and Profile Alternatives 2A and 2D.....	3.89
Figure 3.28	Alternative 2A Geometry.....	3.90
Figure 3.29	Alternative 2A Opening Year (2017) AADT.....	3.91
Figure 3.30	Alternative 2A Design Year (2037) AADT	3.92
Figure 3.31	2017 AM Peak Hour Level of Service – Alternative 2A.....	3.94
Figure 3.32	2017 PM Peak Hour Level of Service – Alternative 2A.....	3.95
Figure 3.33	2037 AM Peak Hour Level of Service – Alternative 2A.....	3.96
Figure 3.34	2037 PM Peak Hour Level of Service – Alternative 2A.....	3.97
Figure 3.35	Alternative 2D Alignment	3.100
Figure 3.36	Alternative 2D Geometry	3.101
Figure 3.37	Alternative 2D Opening Year (2017) AADT	3.103
Figure 3.38	Alternative 2D Design Year (2037) AADT.....	3.104
Figure 3.39	2017 AM Peak Hour Level of Service – Alternative 2D.....	3.105
Figure 3.40	2017 PM Peak Hour Level of Service – Alternative 2D.....	3.106
Figure 3.41	2037 AM Peak Hour Level of Service – Alternative 2D.....	3.107
Figure 3.42	2037 PM Peak Hour Level of Service – Alternative 2D.....	3.108
Figure 3.43	Alternative 1C Alignment	3.111
Figure 3.44	Bridge Plan and Profile Alternative 1C.....	3.112
Figure 3.45	Alternative 1C Geometry	3.113
Figure 3.46	Alternative 1C Opening Year (2017) AADT	3.115
Figure 3.47	Alternative 1C Design Year (2037) AADT	3.116
Figure 3.48	2017 AM Peak Hour Level of Service – Alternative 1C.....	3.117
Figure 3.49	2017 PM Peak Hour Level of Service – Alternative 1C.....	3.118
Figure 3.50	2037 AM Peak Hour Level of Service – Alternative 1C.....	3.119
Figure 3.51	2037 PM Peak Hour Level of Service – Alternative 1C.....	3.120
Figure 3.52	Alternative 1F Alignment.....	3.123
Figure 3.53	Bridge Plan and Profile Alternative 1F	3.124
Figure 3.54	Alternative 1F/6B Geometry.....	3.125
Figure 3.55	Alternative 1F/6B Opening Year (2017) AADT	3.126
Figure 3.56	Alternative 1F/6B Design Year (2037) AADT.....	3.127
Figure 3.57	2017 AM Peak Hour Level of Service – Alternative 1F/6B.....	3.129
Figure 3.58	2017 PM Peak Hour Level of Service – Alternative 1F/6B.....	3.130
Figure 3.59	2037 AM Peak Hour Level of Service – Alternative 1F/6B.....	3.131
Figure 3.60	2037 PM Peak Hour Level of Service – Alternative 1F/6B.....	3.132
Figure 3.61	Alternative 6B Alignment	3.135
Figure 3.62	Bridge Plan and Profile Alternative 6B.....	3.136
Figure 3.63	Alternative 6A Alignment	3.139
Figure 3.64	Bridge Plan and Profile Alternative 6A.....	3.140
Figure 3.65	Alternative 6A Geometry.....	3.141

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
Figure 3.66	Alternative 6A Opening Year (2017) AADT.....	3.142
Figure 3.67	Alternative 6A Design Year (2037) AADT	3.143
Figure 3.68	2017 AM Peak Hour Level of Service – Alternative 6A.....	3.144
Figure 3.69	2017 PM Peak Hour Level of Service – Alternative 6A.....	3.145
Figure 3.70	2037 AM Peak Hour Level of Service – Alternative 6A.....	3.146
Figure 3.71	2037 PM Peak Hour Level of Service – Alternative 6A.....	3.147
Figure 3.72	Preferred Alternative.....	3.172
Figure 3.73	Suburban Typical Section.....	3.173
Figure 3.74	Urban Typical Section.....	3.174
Figure 3.75	Bridge Typical Sections	3.176
Figure 3.76	Bridge Plan and Profile Preferred Alternative	3.178
Figure 4.1	SCE Study Area.....	4.3
Figure 4.2	Community Facilities.....	4.4
Figure 4.3	Census Tracts.....	4.6
Figure 4.4	Neighborhood Connectivity.....	4.10
Figure 4.5	Businesses and Employment.....	4.12
Figure 4.6	Location of Previously Recorded Archaeological Sites Proximate to APE.....	4.17
Figure 4.7	Field Noise Measurement Locations.....	4.26
Figure 4.8	Florida Land Use, Cover and Forms Classification (FLUCCS) Map	4.29
Figure 4.9	U.S. Fish and Wildlife (USFWS) Classification System Map	4.30
Figure 4.10	Wetland and Upland Areas Map.....	4.31
Figure 4.11	Proposed Pond Locations.....	4.32
Figure 4.12	Assessment Area Map (AA).....	4.33
Figure 4.13	FEMA 100-Year Floodplain – Sheet 1 of 2	4.43
Figure 4.14	FEMA 100-Year Floodplain – Sheet 2 of 2	4.44
Figure 4.15	Essential Fish Habitat Map	4.55
Figure 5.1	Neighborhood Circulation and Mobility Modifications – Alternative 2A	5.9
Figure 5.2	Neighborhood Circulation and Mobility Modifications – Alternative 2D	5.10
Figure 5.3	Neighborhood Circulation and Mobility Modifications – Alternative 1C	5.12
Figure 5.4	Neighborhood Circulation and Mobility Modifications – Alternative 1F.....	5.14
Figure 5.5	Neighborhood Circulation and Mobility Modifications – Alternative 6B	5.15
Figure 5.6	Neighborhood Circulation and Mobility Modifications – Alternative 6A	5.16
Figure 5.7	St. Lucie County Evacuation Routes	5.18
Figure 5.8	Noise Study Alternative 2A (1 of 3).....	5.57
Figure 5.9	Noise Study Alternative 2A (2 of 3).....	5.58
Figure 5.10	Noise Study Alternative 2A (3 of 3).....	5.59
Figure 5.11	Noise Study Alternative 2D (1 of 4).....	5.61
Figure 5.12	Noise Study Alternative 2D (2 of 4).....	5.62
Figure 5.13	Noise Study Alternative 2D (3 of 4).....	5.63
Figure 5.14	Noise Study Alternative 2D (4 of 4).....	5.64
Figure 5.15	Noise Study Alternative 1C (1 of 2).....	5.65
Figure 5.16	Noise Study Alternative 1C (2 of 2).....	5.66
Figure 5.17	Noise Study Alternative 1F (1 of 3).....	5.67

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
Figure 5.18	Noise Study Alternative 1F (2 of 3)	5.68
Figure 5.19	Noise Study Alternative 1F (3 of 3)	5.69
Figure 5.20	Noise Study Alternative 6B (1 of 3)	5.71
Figure 5.21	Noise Study Alternative 6B (2 of 3)	5.72
Figure 5.22	Noise Study Alternative 6B (3 of 3)	5.73
Figure 5.23	Noise Study Alternative 6A (1 of 3)	5.74
Figure 5.24	Noise Study Alternative 6A (2 of 3)	5.75
Figure 5.25	Noise Study Alternative 6A (3 of 3)	5.76
Figure 5.26	Potential Impacts to Wetlands	5.86
Figure 5.27	Existing Outfalls	5.96
Figure 5.28	Connections to Existing Outfalls	5.97
Figure 5.29	Potential Impacts to Listed Species Habitat	5.124
Figure 5.30	Potential Impacts to Essential Fish Habitat	5.134
Figure 5.31	1958 Aerial Photograph of Study Area	5.151
Figure 5.32	2007 Aerial Photograph of Study Area	5.152
Figure 5.33	Employment Growth 2008 to 2030	5.153
Figure 5.34	Population Growth 2008 to 2030	5.154
Figure 5.35	Community Redevelopment Agency Boundary Area	5.157
Figure 5.36	Community Redevelopment Agency Master Plan	5.158
Figure 6.1	Section 4(f) Properties within the Project Area	6.3
Figure 6.2	Public Access Points and Facilities within the NFSLR Aquatic Preserve	6.5
Figure 6.3	Savannas Preserve State Park	6.8
Figure 6.4	Corridors Evaluated – <i>Corridor Report</i> (June 2008)	6.11
Figure 6.5	Project Alternatives – <i>Alternatives Report</i> (June 2008)	6.13
Figure 6.6	Alternative 1C Alignment Shifts to Avoid Halpatickee Canoe & Nature Trail	6.33
Figure 6.7	Alternative 2D Alignment Shifts to Avoid Use of Kiwanis Park	6.35
Figure 6.8	Bridge Typical Sections	6.49
Figure 6.9	Water Quality Improvement Sites	6.52
Figure 6.10	Land Acquisition Sites	6.55
Figure 6.11	Halpatickee Canoe and Nature Trail Improvements	6.56
Figure 6.12	Savannas Education Center	6.57
Figure 6.13	Savannas Recreational Trail	6.58
Figure 7.1	Bridge Typical Sections	7.3
Figure 7.2	Platt's Creek Compensatory Mitigation Site	7.14

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

List of Tables

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
Table 1.1	Alternatives Evaluation Matrix.....	1.10
Table 1.2	Project Funding Summary	1.43
Table 2.1	Level of Service (LOS) Grade Designation Characteristics	2.2
Table 2.2	Study Area Roadway Characteristics	2.15
Table 3.1	Alternatives Development Activities.....	3.4
Table 3.2	EIS Technical Support Documents	3.9
Table 3.3	<i>Corridor Report</i> Volume and LOS Summary (2003 Analysis).....	3.13
Table 3.4	Design Control Criteria	3.22
Table 3.5	Design Standards for Proposed Project.....	3.22
Table 3.6	Project Alternatives Analyzed in the <i>Alternatives Report</i>	3.31
Table 3.7	Level 2 Screening Primary Criteria (<i>Alternatives Report</i>).....	3.36
Table 3.8	<i>Alternatives Report</i> Evaluation Matrix – Level 2 Analysis (Initial Analysis)	3.40
Table 3.9	Alternatives Evaluated/Reevaluated	3.41
Table 3.10	2037 Daily LOS Assessment	3.50
Table 3.11	Additional Bridge Widening and Transit Analysis Tier One Results	3.57
Table 3.12	Port St. Lucie Boulevard Tier Two Intersection Analysis	3.57
Table 3.13	Port St. Lucie Boulevard Tier Two Arterial Segment Analysis	3.58
Table 3.14	Alternatives Evaluated	3.69
Table 3.15	No Build AADT Crossing the NFSLR.....	3.71
Table 3.16	Alternatives Evaluation Matrix.....	3.82
Table 3.17	Alternative 2A AADT Crossing the NFSLR	3.87
Table 3.18	Alternative 2D AADT Crossing the NFSLR	3.102
Table 3.19	Alternative 1C AADT Crossing the NFSLR	3.114
Table 3.20	Alternative 1F/6B AADT Crossing the NFSLR.....	3.128
Table 3.21	Alternative 6A AADT Crossing the NFSLR	3.138
Table 3.22	Percent Diversion from the Existing Bridges to Crosstown Parkway	3.152
Table 3.23	Hot Spot/Operational Issues for Each Build Alternative	3.153
Table 3.24	Improved Travel Time (minutes) Compared to the No Build Alternative	3.155
Table 3.25	Meeting Project Purpose and Need Scores	3.156
Table 3.26	Residential Relocations	3.157
Table 3.27	Vacant Residential Property Acquisitions	3.159
Table 3.28	Business Relocations	3.159
Table 3.29	Number of Impacted Receptors Not Benefited with a Noise Barrier	3.160
Table 3.30	Social/Community Impacts Score	3.161
Table 3.31	Impact Assessment for Each Build Alternative for Listed Species	3.162
Table 3.32	Impact Assessment (acres) for Each Build Alternative for EFH (same as wetlands and SSL).....	3.163
Table 3.33	Summary of the Direct Impacts for Each Build Alternative (acres)	3.163
Table 3.34	Natural Environment Impacts Score	3.166
Table 3.35	Physical Impacts Score	3.167
Table 3.36	Project Cost Score (\$ millions).....	3.168

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
Table 3.37	Consultant Team LPA Scoring (November 14, 2011)	3.168
Table 3.38	City-FDOT-TPO Panel LPA Scoring (November 17, 2011)	3.169
Table 3.39	Comparison of the Consultant Team and Panel LPA Scoring	3.169
Table 3.40	Public Preferences for a Specific Alternative Expressed at Public Meetings	3.170
Table 4.1	Minority Populations and Income Level for Project Area Census Tracts.....	4.7
Table 4.2	Sites Recorded within Two Miles of the Project Area	4.18
Table 4.3	Public Parks and Recreation Areas	4.20
Table 4.4	Existing Ambient Noise Levels.....	4.25
Table 4.5	Summary of the UMAM Scores for Existing Conditions.....	4.36
Table 4.6	Contamination Potential Comparison by Build Alternative	4.40
Table 4.7	Federal and State Listed Protected Species Reported or Recorded in St. Lucie County, Florida	4.50
Table 4.8	Listed Species Potentially Present in the Project Area	4.53
Table 4.9	Federally Managed Species Potentially Present within the Project Area	4.58
Table 4.10	State Regulated Recreational and Commercial Fishes Potentially Present within the Project Area	4.62
Table 5.1	Summary of Evaluation Results for Sociocultural Issues.....	5.4
Table 5.2	Summary of Roadway Modifications Required under Each Build Alternative	5.7
Table 5.3	Summary of Tax Base Impact.....	5.20
Table 5.4	Total Displaced Households by Build Alternative and Census Tracts.....	5.25
Table 5.5	Summary of Anticipated Minority Household Displacements by Build Alternative	5.25
Table 5.6	Summary of Estimated Income Ranges by Build Alternative	5.26
Table 5.7	Summary of Estimated Elderly Household Displacements by Build Alternative.....	5.26
Table 5.8	Summary of Estimated Average Household Size by Build Alternative.....	5.27
Table 5.9	Summary of Estimated Disabled Displacements by Build Alternative.....	5.27
Table 5.10	Summary of Estimated Tenant-Occupied Displacements by Build Alternative	5.28
Table 5.11	Potential Businesses to be Displaced.....	5.30
Table 5.12	Estimated Costs for Relocation of Utility Infrastructure for Each Build Alternative	5.34
Table 5.13	CO Screening Test Analysis for 2017 and 2037 Using CO Florida 2012.....	5.40
Table 5.14	Noise Abatement Criteria in Hourly A-Weighted Sound Level-decibels [dB(A)].....	5.50
Table 5.15	2037 Traffic Volumes Used in the TNM 2.5 Traffic Noise Model	5.52
Table 5.16	TNM 2.5 Model Validation Results.....	5.54
Table 5.17	Noise Barrier Evaluation Summary.....	5.56
Table 5.18	Summary of Noise Analysis Results	5.78
Table 5.19	Summary of Direct and Temporary Wetland Impacts (acres) Calculated for Each Build Alternative.....	5.81
Table 5.20	Reduction in UMAM Scores for Indirect Impacts Recommended by an Interagency Team.....	5.87
Table 5.21	Direct and Indirect Wetland Impacts (acres) and Estimated Functional Losses (FL) for Each Build Alternative	5.87
Table 5.22	Summary of Storage Volume Requirements for Each Build Alternative.....	5.95

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
Table 5.23	Summary of Quantified Direct and Temporary Wildlife and Habitat Impacts (acres) Estimated for Each Build Alternative..... 5.105
Table 5.24	Federal and State Listed Species Potentially Affected by Each Build Alternative 5.123
Table 5.25	Summary of Direct and Temporary (Construction) Impacts (acres) to EFH and Managed Species Potentially Affected 5.135
Table 5.26	Acres (ac) of Direct and Indirect Essential Fish Habitat Impacts and Estimated Functional Losses (FL) for Each Build Alternative 5.137
Table 5.27	Navigable Waterways Crossed by Build Alternatives along with the Waterway Width (WW) and Vertical Clearance (VC) at Crossing Locations (in feet)..... 5.142
Table 5.28	Approved Development Projects in St. Lucie County 5.155
Table 6.1	Is the Build Alternative Right of Way Located within the Boundaries of a Section 4(f) Property?..... 6.4
Table 6.2	Evaluation of Potential Avoidance Alternatives for the Savannas Preserve State Park.... 6.15
Table 6.3	Evaluation of Potential Avoidance Alternatives for the North Fork St. Lucie River Aquatic Preserve 6.20
Table 6.4	Width of the AP (feet) at the Crossings of the Build Alternatives at Mean High Water..... 6.23
Table 6.5	Summary of the increased use of the adjacent habitat to avoid a use of the AP 6.27
Table 6.6	Comparison of Build Alternatives and Type of Section 4(f) Resource Affected 6.37
Table 6.7:	Comparison of Alternatives Regarding Standards for the Selection of a Prudent Alternative 6.41
Table 6.8	Comparison of Alternatives, Compensatory Mitigation, and Least Net Harm to Section 4(f) Properties 6.61
Table 7.1	Comparison of the acres of impact due to the Preferred Alternative with a 157-foot right of way and the Preferred Alternative with a reduced 103-foot bridge width 7.4

**TECHNICAL SUPPORT DOCUMENTS LIST
(Included on DVD)**

Air Analysis Report

Alternatives Analysis
[Crosstown Parkway Extension Alternatives Report - Corridor Report Part II of II – June 2008]

Conceptual Stage Relocation Plan

Contamination Screening Evaluation Report

Corridor Analysis
[Analysis of Potential River Crossing Corridors (to Reduce Traffic Congestion
in the City of Port St. Lucie) – Corridor Report Part I of II – June 2008]

Cultural Resource Assessment Survey

Design Traffic Technical Memorandum

Endangered Species Biological Assessment

Essential Fish Habitat Assessment

Location Hydraulic Report

Noise Study Report

Pond Siting Report

Preliminary Drainage Report

Preliminary Geotechnical Report

Right of Way Remediation Plan - Implementation

Sociocultural Effects Report

Tunnel Concept Report

Utility Assessment Package

Wetlands Evaluation Report

ACRONYMS LIST

0/00	Parts per thousand
AA	Assessment Area
AADT	Annual Average Daily Traffic
AAR	Air Analysis Report
AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
ABA	Architectural Barriers Act
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
AdICPR	Advanced Interconnected Pond Routing
AN	Advanced Notification
AP	Aquatic Preserve
APE	Area of Potential Effects
ARC	Acquisition and Restoration Council
ARNI	Aquatic Resources of National Importance
BEBR	Bureau of Economic and Business Research
BDC	Bureau of Design and Construction
BJS	Bridge Jurisdiction Study
BMP	Best Management Practice
BOT	Board of Trustees
CAA	Clean Air Act
CAMA	Coastal and Aquatic Managed Areas
CARL	Conservation and Recreation Lands
CBRA	Coastal Barriers Resources Act
CBRS	Coastal Barriers Resources System
CCI	Community Characteristics Inventory
CD	Compact Disc
CDG	Citizens Discussion Group
CEI	Construction Engineering Inspection
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CERP	Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CHHA	Coastal High Hazard Area
CO	Carbon monoxide
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
COASL	Council on Aging of St. Lucie County
CRAS	Cultural Resources Assessment Survey
CRA	Community Redevelopment Area
CSER	Contamination Screening Evaluation Report
CSRP	Conceptual Stage Relocation Plan
CTP	Crosstown Parkway
CWA	Clean Water Act
CZMA	Coastal Zone Management Act
D ₃₀	Directional Distribution
dBA	A-weight decibel
DCA	Department of Community Affairs (now FDEO)

ACRONYMS LIST (continued)

DDHV	Directional Design Hour Volume
DEIS	Draft Environmental Impact Statement
DIP	Ductile iron pipe
DOA	Determination of Applicability
DOE	Degree of Effect
DOI	Department of Interior
DOT	Department of Transportation
DPI	Division of Plant Industry
DRA	Drainage Retention Area
DRI	Development of Regional Impact
DROD	Draft Record of Decision
DTTM	Design Traffic Technical Memorandum
EAC	Environmental Advisory Committee
EAC-CG	Environmental Advisory Committee – Core Group
EAR	Evaluation and Appraisal Report
EFH	Essential Fish Habitat
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EJ	Environmental Justice
EO	Executive Order
ERP	Environmental Resource Permit
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ESBA	Endangered Species Biological Assessment
EST	Environmental Screening Tool
ETAT	Environmental Technical Advisory Team
ETDM	Efficient Transportation Decision Making
FAC	Florida Administrative Code
FCMP	Florida Coastal Management Program
FDACS	Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services
FDEO	Florida Department of Economic Opportunity fka DCA
FDEP	Florida Department of Environmental Protection
FDER	Florida Department of Environmental Regulation
FDHR	Florida Division of Historical Resources
FDNR	Florida Department of Natural Resources
FDOS	Florida Department of State
FDOT	Florida Department of Transportation
FEC	Florida East Coast Railway
FEIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FFS	Free-Flow Speed
FGFFC	Florida Game and Freshwater Fish Commission
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FIB	Florida I-Beam
FIRM	Flood Insurance Rate Map
fka	Formerly known as
FEIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement

ACRONYMS LIST (continued)

FL	Functional Loss
FLUCCS	Florida Land Use, Cover, and Forms Classification System
FMP	Fishery Management Plan
FMSF	Florida Master Site File
FNAI	Florida Natural Areas Inventory
FNPS	Florida Native Plant Society
FPL	Florida Power and Light
FPPA	Farmland Protection Policy Act
FS	Florida Statutes
FTI	Florida Traffic Information
FWC	Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission
FWRI	Florida Fish and Wildlife Research Institute
GDC	General Development Corporation
GHG	Greenhouse gases
GIS	Geographic Information System
HAPC	Habitat Areas of Particular Concern
HCM	Highway Capacity Manual
HDD	Horizontal Directional Drilling
HUD	Housing and Urban Development
ICPR	Interconnected Pond Routing
IRL-S	Indian River Lagoon – South
IRT	Interagency Review Team
K ₃₀	Design Hour Factor
L _{Aeq1h}	Hourly A-weighted equivalent noise levels
LAP	Local Agency Program
LD	Lanes Divided
LDCA	Location and Design Concept Acceptance
Leq	Equivalent sound level
LHR	Location Hydraulic Report
LOS	Level of Service
LPA	Locally Preferred Alternative
LRFD	Load Resistance Factor Design
L RTP	Long Range Transportation Plan
LWCFA	Land and Water Conservation Fund Act
MAFMC	Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council
MLOU	Methodology Letter of Understanding
MLS	Multiple Listing Service
MOCF	Model Output Conversion Factor
MPA	Metropolitan Planning Area
mph	Miles per hour
MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization
MSA	Magnuson-Stevens Act
MSAT	Mobile Source Air Toxics
MSE	Mechanically Stabilized Earth
MSV	Maximum Service Volume

ACRONYMS LIST (continued)

NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAC	Noise Abatement Criteria
NAVD	North American Vertical Datum
NCRC	National Center for Recreation and Conservation
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NFNR	North Fork Natural Floodplain Restoration
NFSLR	North Fork St. Lucie River
NFSLRAP	North Fork St. Lucie River Aquatic Preserve
NGVD	National Geodetic Vertical Datum
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOI	Notice of Intent
NRCS	Natural Resource Conservation Service
NRHP	National Register of Historical Places
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPL	National Priorities List
NPS	National Park Service
NRCS	National Resources Conservation Service
NRI	National Rivers Inventory
NSR	Noise Study Report
OFW	Outstanding Florida Water
PD&E	Project Development and Environment
PDR	Preliminary Drainage Report
PGR	Preliminary Geotechnical Report
PIP	Public Involvement Program
ppm	Parts per million
PROMA	Permittee Responsible Off-Site Mitigation Area
PSCF	Peak Season Conversion Factor
PSLUSD	Port St. Lucie Utility Systems Department
PSR	Pond Siting Report
PVC	Polyvinyl chloride
QLOS	Quality/Level of Service
RAI	Request for Additional Information
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
RLRTP	Regional Long Range Transportation Plan
ROD	Record of Decision
ROW	Right of way
RTCA	River and Trail Conservation Assistance Program
S.R.	State Road
SAFETEA-LU	Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act – A Legacy for Users
SAFMC	South Atlantic Fisheries Management Council
SCE	Sociocultural Effects
SCER	Sociocultural Effects Report
SFWMD	South Florida Water Management District

ACRONYMS LIST (continued)

SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SPSP	Savannas Preserve State Park
SSL	Sovereign Submerged Lands
SW	Surface waters
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
TAC	Technical Advisory Committee
TBM	Tunnel Boring Machine
TCC	Treasure Coast Connector
TCRPC	Treasure Coast Regional Planning Council,
TCRPM	Treasure Coast Regional Planning Model
TDM	Travel Demand Management
TIITF	Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund
TNM	Traffic Noise Model
TPO	Transportation Planning Organization
TRD-8	Technical Resource Document
TSM	Transportation Systems Management
UAP	Utility Assessment Package
UMAM	Uniform Mitigation Assessment Method
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USC	United States Code
USCG	United States Coast Guard
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USDOT	United States Department of Transportation
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	United States Geological Survey
V/C	Volume to Capacity
VMS	Variable Message Sign
VMT	Vehicle Miles Traveled
WER	Wetland Evaluation Report
WQIE	Water Quality Impact Evaluation